

COMPLAINT

1. That he was employed by the Defendants 1-5 above in Carter County, Oklahoma in the Eastern District of Oklahoma.
2. The unlawful employment practices and discrimination alleged were committed within Carter County, Oklahoma.
3. Plaintiff, Donald G. Haggard, is a Caucasian male, over the age of forty (40) a citizen of the United States, who is a resident of the City of Ardmore within the jurisdiction of this Court.
4. Defendants 1-5 were the employers of the Plaintiff within Carter County, Oklahoma with the power and authority to make and enforce rules and regulations and to discipline and hire employees.

5. Within 300 days of the occurrence of the acts of which this Complaint is based, charges of discrimination were filed with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission by the Plaintiff herein.

6. Plaintiff received his Right to Sue letter from the Oklahoma City Office of the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, entitling him to institute a civil action in the appropriate forum within ninety (90) days of the date of receipt of said notice on his claims of sex and age discrimination. This action was initiated within the said ninety (90) days. (See Exhibit "A", Right to Sue letter attached hereto).

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

SEX DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF TITLE VII

7. Plaintiff was hired by the Defendant on or about August, 2002. During all relevant times of his employment, Plaintiff received satisfactory performance evaluations.

8. Defendants have engaged or intentionally engaged in unlawful employment practices and policies in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 2000 et seq. as follows:

A. Maintaining policies and practices with respect to, but not limited to terms and conditions of employment which unlawfully operate to deny equal opportunity to persons over the age of forty because of their age.

B. Wrongfully discriminating against the Plaintiff in reprisal for his complaints about failure to follow company policy and procedure regarding employee grievances and complaints.

C. Terminating the Plaintiff based on him engaging in protected activity in violation of Title VII.

9. Plaintiff, was terminated from his position with the Defendants on or about August 12, 2013.

10. The effect of the policies and practices complained of in the above, has been to deprive male persons, including Plaintiff, of equal opportunities and otherwise to affect adversely his status as an employee and the terms and conditions of his employment because of his sex.

11. Plaintiff's supervisor, was a woman, who treated the Plaintiff adversely because he was a man.

12. Further, Plaintiff has been deprived of income solely because of his sex in the form of wages, tenure, and prospective retirement benefits, Social Security, Unemployment Compensation benefits, and other benefits due to him as an employee.

13. Plaintiff has suffered great mental harm because Defendant intentionally inflicted emotional distress when Defendant unlawfully terminated the Plaintiff, and by giving unfavorable references so that the Plaintiff has been unable to find comparable employment.

14. Plaintiff has suffered great mental harm because Defendant, Molly Kerkstra, intentionally inflicted emotional distress when Defendant made false and harmful allegations of sexual misconduct resulting in Plaintiff's termination.

15. Plaintiff has suffered great mental harm because Defendants 1-5, intentionally inflicted emotional distress when Defendants unlawfully terminated Plaintiff.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

CONSPIRACY TO VIOLATE CIVIL RIGHTS - 42 U.S.C. 1985(3)

16. Plaintiff adopts all prior allegations.

17. Plaintiff states that immediately after he challenged the method of the investigation and person conducting the investigation he became the target of false

allegations of employment misconduct. That his supervisor, Tom Riley, conspired with employee June Elisee to invent incidents and document alleged instances of misconduct on the part of Plaintiff for the purpose of injuring him in his right to employment on the basis of her age and his sex.

18. Sex and age discrimination constitute a class based animus sufficient for the imposition of liability under 42 U.S.C. Section 1985(3).

19. Defendants, Elisee and Riley, made false allegations with regard to complaints they alleged were made by Defendant Kerkstra and after the fact submitted false information to give the impression that the false allegations of misconduct were true.

20. Plaintiff became aware of the conspiracy when he was asked by YMCA members why he was no longer employed with the Ardmore Family YMCA, (because the members were told the Plaintiff had been fired for sexually harassing a female employee despite the fact that he had not).

21. Plaintiff was accused of sexually harassing a female employee while at work but other evidence and witnesses show that this is completely untrue, all of this was done in an attempt to fabricate performance deficiencies so that the Plaintiff could be fired.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

THIRD CLAIM - DEFAMATION

22. In the process of terminating the Plaintiff the Defendant, intentionally and with malice, accused the Plaintiff of professional misconduct although Management knew or should have known this to be false at the time the accusations were made.

23. June Elisee and Tom Riley then published the false allegations

against the Plaintiff.

24. As a result of the false, negligent and malicious publication against the Plaintiff he has been placed in a false light and his reputation in the community has been damaged, and he has suffered emotional and mental distress.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

25. Paragraphs 1-24 are incorporated by reference.

26. Defendants false allegations and fabrication of evidence to justify the termination of the Plaintiff is extreme and outrageous.

27. Plaintiff has suffered great mental harm because Defendants intentionally inflicted emotional distress when Defendants unlawfully terminated Plaintiff and falsified the reasons for his termination when they knew the allegations were false.

WHEREFORE, premises considered, the Plaintiff requests that this Court:

A. Grant a permanent injunction enjoining the Defendant, its officers, successors, assigns and all persons in active concert or participation with it, from engaging in any employment practice, which discriminates on the basis of sex or age.

B. Order the Defendant to institute and carry out policies, practices and programs which provide equal employment opportunities for qualified individuals over the age of forty (40), and women and which eradicate the effects of it's past and present unlawful employment practices.

C. Order the Defendant to make whole the Plaintiff by providing him with lost earnings and insurance premiums, with pre-judgment interest, and compensation for past

and future pecuniary losses, including any out-of-pocket losses suffered by the Plaintiff because of the unlawful discrimination, in amounts to be determined at trial but in excess of \$175,000.00.

D. Order the Individual Defendants to pay punitive damages to the Plaintiff for its malicious and/or reckless conduct in an amount to be determined at trial.

E. Grant such further relief as the Court deems necessary and proper.

F. Award the Plaintiff, pre and post judgment interest, costs, and attorney fees in this action

Respectfully submitted,

s/Traci L. Rhone

TRACI L. RHONE, OBA #19285
Attorney for Plaintiff
RHONE & ASSOCIATES, L.L.C.
527 N.W. 23RD Street, Suite 200
Oklahoma City, OK 73103
Telephone: 405/602-5393
Facsimile: 405/602-5390

ATTORNEY'S LIEN CLAIMED

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED